

Column1	Column2	Column3	Column4
8/25/2026 12:34:42	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/24/2026 9:36:06	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral
8/24/2026 8:56:53	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/22/2026 9:13:45	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	c. Neutral
8/21/2026 16:09:18	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/20/2026 17:29:34	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/20/2026 17:05:07	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
8/20/2026 15:58:16	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/20/2026 12:16:47	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral

8/20/2026 9:24:27	c. Neutral	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/20/2026 9:03:48	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/20/2026 8:13:27	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	
8/20/2026 7:02:03	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/19/2026 22:26:32	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/19/2026 19:24:08	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/19/2026 18:51:00	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/19/2026 17:44:05	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/19/2026 16:30:05	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/19/2026 16:24:46	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/18/2026 21:39:50	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	c. Neutral
8/18/2026 12:38:36	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	c. Neutral
8/18/2026 12:24:06	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/18/2026 9:46:38	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/18/2026 8:05:30	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/18/2026 4:19:14	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/17/2026 22:55:14	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/17/2026 18:32:50	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/17/2026 18:22:21	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/17/2026 17:17:11	b. Agree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/17/2026 15:33:00			
8/17/2026 14:43:38	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree

8/17/2026 14:40:03	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/17/2026 13:47:48	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/17/2026 10:39:10	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/16/2026 20:44:08	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/16/2026 16:13:50	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/16/2026 10:13:45	c. Neutral		c. Neutral
8/16/2026 7:35:41	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/15/2026 19:40:55	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/15/2026 15:47:14	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/15/2026 15:31:31	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/15/2026 15:23:29	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/15/2026 13:25:07	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	
8/15/2026 9:05:15	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/15/2026 5:50:13	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/15/2026 5:11:37	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
8/14/2026 21:30:43	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/14/2026 20:28:15	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/14/2026 19:37:25	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/14/2026 19:28:35	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/14/2026 17:53:54	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/14/2026 17:01:01	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/14/2026 16:11:04	b. Agree		
8/14/2026 15:34:02	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/14/2026 15:29:51	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/14/2026 14:20:31	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree

8/14/2026 14:16:04	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
8/14/2026 13:52:32	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	b. Agree
8/14/2026 12:18:01	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/14/2026 12:02:49	c. Neutral	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/14/2026 12:01:00	b. Agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/14/2026 11:57:57	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/14/2026 11:16:00	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/14/2026 11:10:58	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/14/2026 11:01:08	b. Agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/14/2026 10:48:44	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/14/2026 10:33:12			
8/14/2026 10:26:35	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/14/2026 8:06:27	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/13/2026 11:07:29	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/13/2026 10:04:10	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/12/2026 16:30:19	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/12/2026 11:29:06	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
8/11/2026 11:55:56	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/11/2026 11:20:16	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral

8/11/2026 10:27:42	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/11/2026 9:52:42	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/11/2026 7:30:49	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/11/2026 7:27:38	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/11/2026 7:18:38	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/11/2026 6:19:45	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 22:39:56	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/10/2026 20:46:13	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 20:34:31	b. Agree	c. Neutral	b. Agree
8/10/2026 20:32:22	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/10/2026 20:17:52	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/10/2026 19:26:18	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 18:09:11	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 17:20:24	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 17:16:35	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 17:10:03	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/10/2026 16:58:56	c. Neutral	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
8/9/2026 8:39:57	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/8/2026 16:45:52	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/7/2026 14:15:39	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/7/2026 14:15:07	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree

8/7/2026 12:32:08	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral	a. Strongly agree
8/7/2026 10:40:45	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/6/2026 18:20:38	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/6/2026 16:35:28	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/6/2026 16:15:15	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/6/2026 16:09:53	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
8/4/2026 12:40:38	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
8/3/2026 21:57:57	c. Neutral	b. Agree	c. Neutral
8/3/2026 21:04:47	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
8/3/2026 19:03:29	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
8/3/2026 18:58:52	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/31/2026 21:39:05	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/31/2026 11:52:39	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/29/2026 18:26:27	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
7/29/2026 10:07:00	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree

7/28/2026 20:29:57	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
7/26/2026 20:20:38	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/25/2026 16:42:45	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
7/25/2026 9:24:40	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/24/2026 12:38:15	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/24/2026 11:30:04	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
7/24/2026 4:45:48	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/24/2026 4:42:19	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/22/2026 17:30:09	c. Neutral	b. Agree	c. Neutral
7/22/2026 15:42:54	b. Agree	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral
7/22/2026 15:41:34	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/22/2026 15:40:49	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/22/2026 11:03:31	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	c. Neutral
7/22/2026 10:47:49	b. Agree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/22/2026 8:22:56	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/21/2026 21:17:29	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	

7/21/2026 20:58:16	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral
7/21/2026 19:34:27	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/21/2026 19:25:42	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/21/2026 17:38:05	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/21/2026 16:59:48	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/21/2026 13:01:54	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/21/2026 7:39:10	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/20/2026 15:08:30	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/20/2026 11:48:22	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/19/2026 17:21:09	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/19/2026 16:56:38	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/19/2026 15:50:25	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/19/2026 14:46:30	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/18/2026 21:55:29	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/18/2026 17:51:43	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
7/18/2026 16:50:33	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
7/18/2026 16:49:17	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/18/2026 16:38:47	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/18/2026 13:39:16	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/18/2026 9:25:42	b. Agree	c. Neutral	b. Agree
7/18/2026 8:53:29	c. Neutral	b. Agree	c. Neutral

7/18/2026 7:44:08	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
7/17/2026 21:42:35	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 21:27:54	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 20:56:50	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 20:41:44	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 18:35:06	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
7/17/2026 18:13:20	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
7/17/2026 17:48:38	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
7/17/2026 16:54:11	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
7/17/2026 15:46:36	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
7/17/2026 15:28:42	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 15:12:52	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 14:52:25	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/17/2026 14:44:09	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
7/17/2026 13:40:43	b. Agree	c. Neutral	b. Agree
7/17/2026 13:40:23	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/17/2026 13:38:04	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
7/17/2026 13:16:54	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral

7/14/2026 21:18:22	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
--------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

7/11/2026 13:03:05	c. Neutral	b. Agree	c. Neutral
--------------------	------------	----------	------------

7/11/2026 10:36:16	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral
--------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------

7/10/2026 21:45:53	d. Disagree	b. Agree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	-------------	----------	----------------------

7/10/2026 21:37:34	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
--------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

7/9/2026 14:32:00	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	c. Neutral
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	------------

7/9/2026 11:50:08	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
-------------------	----------	----------	----------

7/8/2026 21:50:48	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

7/8/2026 21:07:44	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

7/8/2026 20:47:27	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------

7/8/2026 19:16:41	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
-------------------	-------------------	----------	----------

7/8/2026 19:00:28	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
-------------------	----------	----------	----------

7/8/2026 18:14:21	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
-------------------	----------	----------	----------

7/8/2026 17:57:18	b. Agree	b. Agree	d. Disagree
-------------------	----------	----------	-------------

7/8/2026 17:30:01	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/8/2026 17:23:50	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/8/2026 6:06:36	b. Agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/7/2026 8:21:19	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
7/6/2026 9:24:17	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
7/2/2026 7:34:42	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
7/1/2026 21:17:07	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
7/1/2026 19:58:12	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
7/1/2026 12:54:45	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
7/1/2026 9:56:03	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral

7/1/2026 9:47:34 d. Disagree d. Disagree d. Disagree

7/1/2026 9:27:49 a. Strongly agree b. Agree a. Strongly agree

7/1/2026 8:27:49 b. Agree c. Neutral c. Neutral

7/1/2026 8:02:46 b. Agree c. Neutral c. Neutral

7/1/2026 7:45:48 d. Disagree

7/1/2026 6:53:33 a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree

6/30/2026 22:45:48 b. Agree b. Agree b. Agree

6/30/2026 21:09:21 a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree

6/30/2026 21:02:26 e. Strongly disagree b. Agree c. Neutral

6/30/2026 20:45:08 d. Disagree e. Strongly disagree d. Disagree

6/30/2026 20:34:37 b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree

6/30/2026 20:20:38 a. Strongly agree b. Agree c. Neutral

6/30/2026 19:49:36 b. Agree c. Neutral c. Neutral

6/30/2026 19:40:07 b. Agree b. Agree b. Agree

6/30/2026 18:32:37 d. Disagree c. Neutral b. Agree

6/30/2026 17:46:10 b. Agree a. Strongly agree b. Agree

6/30/2026 17:25:33 b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree

6/30/2026 15:19:20 d. Disagree c. Neutral c. Neutral

6/30/2026 11:24:58 a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree

6/30/2026 10:54:04 a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree a. Strongly agree

6/30/2026 8:27:23 d. Disagree d. Disagree d. Disagree

6/29/2026 22:34:09	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
6/29/2026 21:48:56	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
6/29/2026 12:38:45	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
6/29/2026 10:02:06	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
6/28/2026 20:24:01	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/28/2026 15:32:43	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
6/28/2026 10:26:19	c. Neutral	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/28/2026 7:18:06	c. Neutral	a. Strongly agree	b. Agree
6/28/2026 6:44:02	a. Strongly agree		
6/27/2026 14:36:58	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/27/2026 13:37:59	b. Agree	b. Agree	c. Neutral
6/27/2026 9:00:59	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
6/26/2026 21:21:56	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/25/2026 13:47:04	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/24/2026 10:56:06	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/24/2026 10:55:30	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/24/2026 10:55:01	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/24/2026 9:52:48	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
6/24/2026 6:46:31	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/24/2026 1:50:29	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree
6/23/2026 23:49:35	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
6/23/2026 23:11:16	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral

6/23/2026 18:17:48	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/23/2026 17:08:26	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/22/2026 9:25:18	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/22/2026 5:56:42	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/21/2026 16:46:30	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral
6/21/2026 15:36:53	a. Strongly agree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/21/2026 15:20:40	b. Agree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/20/2026 20:26:51	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
6/20/2026 19:35:57	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/20/2026 18:18:31	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/20/2026 14:08:56	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 14:08:37	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 13:09:30	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 12:13:57	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 11:16:01	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 10:51:43	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/20/2026 10:40:49	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 9:47:25	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 9:33:47	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 9:28:44	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/20/2026 9:13:27	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/20/2026 2:35:33	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/19/2026 21:28:32	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/19/2026 19:28:39	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 17:51:56	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 17:48:35			
6/19/2026 16:51:08	c. Neutral	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/19/2026 16:30:13	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 15:31:43	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
6/19/2026 15:07:18	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree

6/19/2026 14:24:43	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	
6/19/2026 13:32:54	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/19/2026 13:10:14	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 13:09:19	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 13:01:23	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 11:41:18	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/19/2026 10:49:48	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 10:43:53	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/19/2026 10:41:00	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree	a. Strongly agree
6/19/2026 10:39:02	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 10:29:16	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 10:09:08	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 10:05:05	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 9:21:00	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 9:20:20	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 8:50:45	c. Neutral	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 8:47:31	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 8:46:51	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/19/2026 8:39:40	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/18/2026 20:00:24	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	c. Neutral
6/18/2026 19:25:51	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 14:53:29	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/18/2026 13:18:56	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 12:55:53	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree

6/18/2026 12:48:05	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 11:04:44	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 10:16:48	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 9:52:28	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 9:16:34	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 8:48:44	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 8:13:16	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 7:50:38	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 7:47:25	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 7:30:54	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 7:15:53	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 7:13:49	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral
6/18/2026 7:08:34	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 6:26:15	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 6:25:49	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 6:22:05	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 6:03:41	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 5:56:14	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 5:30:50	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
6/18/2026 5:21:18	e. Strongly disagree	b. Agree	d. Disagree
6/17/2026 20:21:13	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
6/17/2026 10:35:05	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/17/2026 10:34:40	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/16/2026 7:21:26	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/16/2026 7:19:43	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/16/2026 6:33:06	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/14/2026 17:02:06	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/13/2026 6:37:26	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/12/2026 15:47:39	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/12/2026 12:01:00	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree

6/12/2026 10:20:49	b. Agree	b. Agree	b. Agree
6/11/2026 22:12:59			
6/11/2026 22:12:51	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 22:08:07	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/11/2026 15:49:53	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/11/2026 14:52:26	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/11/2026 12:24:44	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 11:28:16	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 11:16:54	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
6/11/2026 10:33:39	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/11/2026 10:29:46	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/11/2026 10:29:28	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 10:00:01	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 9:02:49	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 7:39:57	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/11/2026 7:20:05	a. Strongly agree	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree
6/11/2026 6:49:07	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 6:37:34	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/11/2026 6:03:23	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree

6/11/2026 4:57:36	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 23:53:42	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 22:55:55	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 22:22:56	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:59:24	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:50:34	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:37:13	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:33:59	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral
6/10/2026 21:19:36	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:10:30	c. Neutral	c. Neutral	b. Agree
6/10/2026 21:08:55	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/10/2026 21:06:06	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:04:06	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 21:00:49	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/10/2026 20:57:42	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 20:51:26	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
6/10/2026 20:47:23	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 20:47:08	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 20:46:04	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 20:45:13	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
6/10/2026 20:44:41	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
6/10/2026 20:44:39	a. Strongly agree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree

6/10/2026 20:43:23	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:42:28	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:37:08	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:36:52	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:36:50	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	-------------

6/10/2026 20:36:25	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:27:13	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:25:39	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 20:16:48	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/10/2026 18:27:12	e. Strongly disagree	d. Disagree	e. Strongly disagree
--------------------	----------------------	-------------	----------------------

6/9/2026 17:51:21	d. Disagree	c. Neutral	c. Neutral
-------------------	-------------	------------	------------

6/8/2026 12:54:46	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/8/2026 12:54:21	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/7/2026 17:08:54	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/7/2026 14:28:26	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/7/2026 14:28:19	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/5/2026 18:02:49	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/5/2026 16:17:09	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/5/2026 11:56:44	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/5/2026 6:30:39	e. Strongly disagree	c. Neutral	d. Disagree
------------------	----------------------	------------	-------------

6/4/2026 19:58:43	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree	e. Strongly disagree
-------------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------------

6/4/2026 11:46:55	d. Disagree	d. Disagree	d. Disagree
-------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

6/3/2026 20:59:38

e. Strongly disagree

e. Strongly disagree

e. Strongly disagree

6/3/2026 18:15:36

a. Strongly agree

a. Strongly agree

a. Strongly agree

Column5

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

a. I love it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

a. I love it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

b. It's ok, but needs some improvements

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

c. I don't like it

Column6

Phase 2 Plan for connection to the state park

The new stop signs at Guptil Road and Maple street are an accident waiting to happen. all three should be eliminated. I recently saw a car blow thru the stop signs headed South by the park. Also, even though I drive through this area several times a week, I have not seen a single person walking by the park in the area along Howard Ave to Maple Street. I think this is a solution to a problem that does not exist. There is however a simple and cheap solution to the perceived problem. Take the left over sand and gravel the Town has for the winter road maintenance and widen the top of the slope in the park behind the power pole and create a sidewalk outside the travel way within the park. This is much safer location and

Guptil/Howard/Hollow crosswalk on the Guptil side is unsafe and not practical. There is no room for pedestrians on the west side of the street and the tactile warning strip is very much in the road, because there is no room for it to be anywhere else. If there is any possibility of being able to coordinate w/ the utility and move the telephone pole eastward (toward the triangle), perhaps there would be room for a proper sidewalk on the west side of Guptil where the existing crosswalk lands?

Additionally, the road narrowing markers on Maple street seem to clutter the area and create an unsafe bottleneck for pedestrians and vehicles. I think a more effective alternative here would be a few speed humps on maple st, similar to those at the bottom of Little River rd.

I support the goals of this project to slow vehicle traffic, improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists and accommodate parking around the Green and on nearby streets. The higher the vehicle speeds the greater the potential for injury. I live close to the project area and see many people biking and walking especially with dogs and young children daily. The Green itself could be expanded or reconfigured by removing some of the asphalt at the corner of Hollow Road, Howard Ave and Guptil Road (where it was painted in June.) It appears that there is room for a separated sidewalk facing the Grange instead of the pedestrian walkway now marked by bollards. I like the Stop signs and think they are necessary. I'd like to see speed tables on Maple St. I'd like to see the utility pole in center of Howard Ave road moved to see the Triangle Green slightly expanded.

CROSSWALK - I support the addition of marked crosswalks. There could be tweaking in the placement of the terminus of the crosswalks especially at the corner of Howard Ave.

PAVEMENT / Crosswalk on Guptil - Please repave the section of badly cracked pavement from the corner of Hollow Road to the corner of Maple St. & Guptil Rd. so that new pavement matches the good condition of the pavement on the other 2 sides of the Triangle Green. At a minimum, please cut out and replace the cracked pavement under the painted crosswalk to Howard Ave. It is unsafe to invite people to walk on the failed pavement. The terminus of this crosswalk in front of the picket fence is a bit awkward.

STOP SIGN - I support the Stop signs and white paint at all three corners of the Green. I support the all-way stop at the corner of Guptil and Maple St. I recommend realigning the intersection/pavement on Maple St. to be closer to a 90 degree angle to work better as a full stop and curtail the tendency for drivers to maintain their speed around that somewhat blind corner from and to Guptil Rd.

BOLLARDS- I like the vertical bollards now used to create a separate walkway and to outline the painted pedestrian area next to the utility pole in the middle of the intersection. It appears there is actually room for a new separate sidewalk near the edge of the Triangle Green.

TRIANGLE GREEN - I like the change to the painted pavement and pedestrian corner used to incorporate the existing power pole at the corner of Howard/ Hollow Rd or relocate the pole but consider impacts on mature trees within the Triangle. The upright lane organizers are distracting as a driver, it feels crowded and I feel like I am going to hit them.

Eliminate abrupt vehicle lane with changes. Widen the road to put in walking lane rather than narrow the road since creates an uncomfortable feeling when oncoming traffic is passing. Keep the new parking layout and keep the triangular area where some artwork was painting blocked off. Road needs to be returned to former width since I felt uncomfortable riding my bike in the travel lanes since I don't ride in the pedestrian walkways. Keep the new stop signs at Guptil/Maple intersection. That has helped with the limited sight distances coming up Guptil from the PO direction. It needs to work without pylons since Widen the lanes, traffic is still tight near the pole. Establish more permanent and large scale art work or foliage near the pole. The tiny foliage boxes are underwhelming and probably made some feel the project was silly.

Maple st too narrow

I like the stop signs.. But narrowing the road makes it feel more dangerous.. Not sure how this will all be when soccer season gets going at hope davey and parking is challenging for parents with alot of overflow. Plus there isnt much in the center people are walking around to without a store. Pretty much hope davey is the only destination

Improve the triangle road surface

Remind each person to be responsible for their own actions and not expect others to watch out for them. i.e. stepping out into the road without looking or swerving on their bikes into the road. Good luck with that one.

You've made it into an eyesore with all these poles. I appreciate what you're doing, but you did it at the expensive beautifying. And you put ugly crates with advertising on them and hey that already looks haggard from the weather. It's an awful entrance to the neighborhood now. I hope you do something better .appreciate the effort. I like the attempt to bring The stop sign is very good. Much needed.

Explain the purpose of the painted blue triangle area. What's it's purpose?

Nothing just leave it alone

Do not install it. Before it was there we had enough room to drive walk and bike. Now we don't. The only good thing is marking the parking spots that were already there. As far as I know there has not been an accident there for decades. The

The stop signs are an improvement to give drivers better perception of the area. The parking spots look ridiculous as they are too large and a strange angle for such a small area. The Road needs upgraded pavement. The artistic attempt is cute, Actual sidewalks; white marked bike lane; and shorter length for the parking spaces - what's there now is ridiculous - you can fit a truck with a boat trailer there!

Don't install it permanently and remove the useless stupid stop signs at the corner of Guptil/Maple. During your presentation make sure to tell about all the accidents that never happened at that location which makes those signs. Me and my family are very happy with the effort to make this part of our community more walkable and safer for our children with very little impact to the flow of traffic. One extra stop sign is not a lot to ask of drivers for more safety and a better park experience. If installed permanently, I would love to see this project expanded even further to include a dedicated sidewalk that eventually extends down Maple st, Howard ave, and Hollow Rd. I drive near/through this intersection everyday and too often see kids and parents nervously shepherding their kids on the street when a dedicated I think anything that slows the traffic on Maple Street is a help. A number of people complaining don't live here, or don't realize how much growth has occurred. With the Grange having functions, frequent disc golf activities, personal events happening at the Hope Davey pavilion, and kids ball/soccer games, traffic has increased. And every year the traffic during foliage gets worse as people use Maple Street for a thoroughfare, avoiding Route 100. We need increased police presence I think the artwork is fantastic. We should encourage more projects that not only have functional components, but include artistic elements, too, when possible.

I think this has been well intentioned but poorly executed. The new stop sign and more visible crosswalks are great. The new shoulder is small and crooked. I have seen drivers cross over the line almost every time I drive there. If someone needs to make a right turn from guptil where the art triangle is, it doesn't accommodate any kind of large vehicle.

Stop sign, pavement markings, pocket park. Thank you!

Me and my family are very happy with the effort to make this part of our community more walkable and safer for our children with very little impact to the flow of traffic. One extra stop sign is not a lot to ask of drivers for more safety and a better park experience. If installed permanently, I would love to see this project expanded even further to include a dedicated sidewalk that eventually extends down Maple st, Howard ave, and Hollow Rd. I drive near/through this intersection everyday and too often see kids and parents nervously shepherding their kids on the street when a dedicated The road would need to be widened, not narrowed. It's even less safe now.

Additionally, it's a disgrace to look at - every time I drive through there, which is multiple times a day, I find myself STILL in disbelief over the quality of the project. I have walked, run and biked Maple Street for 15+ years and have not had an issue. The one benefit I do see is that the tenants near the park now have additional parking outside of their rentals 🙄

One change that would be helpful would be the addition of speed bumps on Maple Street?

The 3 way STOP is nice but the added bike/pedestrian lanes make the road lanes too narrow for cars approaching from different directions at the same time cramped.

The street has become unnavigable. I drive a pickup truck sometimes with a trailer. This makes the road too narrow for safe passage for vehicles, pedestrians and bicyclists. It conveys a false sense of security to pedestrians. It is an accident waiting to happen. To do this correctly add sidewalks while maintaining the road width. Make the corners navigable for larger vehicles. I think the posts are problematic- almost claustrophobic. The new lines seem overly bright and wide. Could the safety goals be achieved taking things down a notch or two?

I love the walking/biking lanes when I'm walking in the area, but when I'm driving, the roadway *does* feel quite narrow, especially in that section from the triangle through Hope Davey... I've heard from people who drive larger cars that it feels especially tight. I'm concerned that this could present a safety hazard... is there a way to take a little space off that island at the top of the triangle perhaps to help cars feel like they have more room to maneuver?

I love the idea of extending the triangle park permanently into that section that's now been painted and filled with planters (eventually reworking the placement of utility poles in the area would be wonderful). Love the parking spots. Love the Remove everything

Leave parking, rest should be put back to how it was.

The murals are nice and give a fun spark to the center. I'm not sure the stop sign is necessary.

The new stop signs are great, as is the parking. However the lanes (walking AND driving) on Maple Street are not safe. They're narrow and difficult to navigate with oncoming traffic. There is not room for the two smushed car lanes and the walking area in that section. This is my only concern with the project. I get nervous driving through there and it feels less

Makes the road too small

Removal of dangerous posts. Removal of ped lanes that nobody uses and that make driving lanes too narrow and unsafe.

Keep stop sign and crossing strips

PLEASE get rid of the artwork in the blue triangle - it's distracting and not credible. As much as I like public artwork, this is not the place for it. It needs "official" road hazard graphics, i.e. yellow lines. Also, the parking spots on the West side of the park are too long which creates a sudden narrowing of that short stretch of road.

I have yet to see any bicyclist, dog walker, runner or otherwise use these pedestrian lanes or cross walks! I travel all three roads daily, numerous times. The only improvement that bears any weight, is the three way stop at Guptil and Maple, yet I have always stopped when I'm coming off Maple to head to PO. "Share the road signs" is the way to go!

Get rid of the odd utility pole in the middle of the intersection of Howard/Guptil/Hollow Roads.

That area is not particularly a big pedestrian way and the markers etc are a distraction to drivers. Add lights and a crossing lane similar to downtown Waterbury. That way it can be used as needed.

Love it! I drive in, park at the newly lined spots and walk my dog to maple street cemetery and back!

I think the cones, posts are unsightly and are overkill. A painted walking path is enough to alert drivers. I drive through the center daily and hardly ever see anyone walking in that area

The traffic "lane shift" on Maple street seems dangerous when two vehicles are approaching each other, especially two larger vehicles.

I would reduce or remove the tall plastic polls. It's a bit of an overkill.

It's great how the changes took the telephone pole out of play.

I've only driven through this area so cannot comment on walking or riding a bike through there. Driving seems safer - we all have to slow down for the new stop signs. The road markings are clear and visible while driving. Thanks!

Bike or walking lane up maple street

I hope most, if not all of it is retained. I especially like the three way stop at Guptil Road and the better definition of where to stop at the utility pole that was formerly in the center of the road.

I question its necessity. I ride and drive frequently through this intersection and have not seen a lot of pedestrian traffic.

Remove ballards and restore travelled lane widths.

roads and lanes need to be wider to accommodate commercial trucks. I understand this is a demonstration. Please adjust the towns message that this is permanent. I hear that there is confusion on this issue. Thank you

Leave things the way they were

It made driving in that area tight. What would be most helpful are more bike paths

1. Move the walkways into the green where to widen Howard Ave btwn hollow rd and maple Street,

2. take out the pavement that was painted at howard and guptil rd and replace it with grass,

3. maple Street and guptil intersection is much safer with 3 stop signs

I really like what you've done here, but I think the best thing we could do is to continue the bike/pedestrian lane down maple to guild hill

The Waterbury Center Park has always been a quiet space - very seldom is it a meeting place - the planned gatherings have happened there for years with no drama - accidents or need for improvements. Vehicles - bikes and walkers have shared that space with no incidents. To take our peaceful "Center of Town" and make it a visual mess was a waste of money and shows no consideration or respect of our community. The road is not wide enough for your "improvements". There must have been another use for that money. Remove the telephone pole in the middle of the road if you want to spend some money. If this were indeed temporary why the very loud primitive artwork - disturbing the flow of traffic and narrowing our roads which could have caused an incident as well as parking spaces painted when for years people have just parked their vehicles. Most of us like the informal atmosphere of Waterbury Center - the lovely Hope Davey field - the quiet triangular Line striping appears gaudy. Additional stop signs now excellent, but shrubs at corner of Guptil need to be trimmed back to improve visibility. Had this been done, expense for striping and stop sign would have been unnecessary.

I don't like the tall orange cones. Very unattractive.

Keep the Stop signs; lose the rest.

The road needs to be widened, it's dangerous at the moment how close the cars drive together to avoid hitting the posts.

The parking spaces are too big, it's hard for cars to navigate in any of the sections.

Remove 1st stop sign going north on guptil road and the other stop sign same location from other direction.

Lane where parking is defined too narrow and needs striping.

The parking spaces are a benefit as well as the crosswalks. The stop sign at the corner of Guptil Road and Maple Street doesn't seem necessary. Some places in the roadway seem narrow and would be difficult to navigate if an emergency

The bollards on Maple would not allow school buses to make left off Howard. Should be repositioned.

I walk in this area daily. The added pedestrian crossings are great.

I'd want the bike lane to extend outside of the triangle, existing scope is too limited

I like the stop signs and parking spaces on the Guptil side of park. The mural is pretty but seems that is a waste of good parking space for functions at the Grange. Bike and walk lanes are OK but they suddenly stop up Maple Street a little so not sure I see the point of having them and not continue them up Maple past the Park parking lot. I actually haven't personally seen anyone using the walk lanes except one or 2 people. It does narrow the streets by quite a bit so I hope the safety of car traffic isn't compromised. All of this said though I'm sure it is slowing traffic down which is great. I know I drive slower now.

First, thank you for taking on this effort. It is a tough intersection. I appreciate the time and effort to re-evaluate it. The problem right now is that it is very confusing. I think that confusion for drivers, walkers, bikers is why I am not saying it feels safer. It feels different, which does cause folks to stop and look (which is good), but I think it is a hard adjustment.

What I like: I think the new 3 way stop is a great addition. I think more clearly defined parking spaces is a good thing, but not sure the layout is right. I am very appreciative that someone is trying to communicate to drivers how to navigate the 4 way at Howard and Hollow intersection. Why is there a telephone pole in the middle of the road? I really like the mural addition and always want more public art!

What I don't like: I think the right turn from Guptil onto Howard at the 4 way is impossible to make without crossing the lines into oncoming traffic. Why not allow right turn folks to go on the other side of the telephone pole? I also think it is very hard to navigate a left turn off of Howard onto Maple without hitting the bike lane and the yellow markers. I work has me often driving down Maple right when the school bus is trying to turn off of Howard onto Maple. I probably say it 5 times make that turn and never once successfully avoiding the yellow markers, no matter how hard they tried. Unless the roads are widened (which I don't really want to see) I don't think there is space for a bike/walk lane. Why a lane there anyway? I would add signs farther away to warn drivers of the slowing speeds

to have all the pavement markings (road dividing lines, parking lines, etc) be done more neatly and with correct sizing. It seems like this had to be done in a hurry so it wasn't marked well.

Please leave it

Here the stop signs are fine, the parking spaces are fine, much larger than it seems necessary, but in general on the crosswalk is hidden and difficult to see, the area is a congested area in the first place and it adds to the congestion! The posts made it so tight large trucks or trucks pulling a trailer cannot navigate through and I believe creating a problem, not correcting a problem! The mural is lovely, I think it was all done with good intentions but it is not well planned and should be reconfigured with more consideration and input from all of us! I've lived here my entire life and I've not seen any need for any of this, really!

More funding for more impactful improvements please

The short yellow barrier poles make the road too narrow in front of the grange hall.

I like the mural, parking spaces, new stop signs, and pedestrian walkway on Howard. The pedestrian walkway on Maple doesn't feel right. The road is too narrow and forcing pedestrians to that side of the street when people frequently walk. The crosswalk turning from Howard ave onto Guptil is in a terrible location. It doesn't lead to anything, and it's impossible to make that turn without hitting the yellow mat where people are supposed to wait. This area needed thoughtful speed humps or major road expansion to allow for a sidewalk. Additionally, the travel lanes are far too narrow on the beginning of Maple Ave where the pedestrian section was added. It feels very dangerous as a driver passing through there.

I appreciate the mural in the community triangle, the extra stop signs (as a Guptil Road resident, traffic patterns have been challenging for all 24 years we have lived here) and the parking spaces. The driving lane is tight around the green but that Overall Waterbury to Waterbury center needs better pedestrian areas, more concentration should have been pedestrian area to go to Ben n Jerry's or to Waterbury village from the hotels, the center where it's now almost 1 lane due to the parking configuration, but I guess it all works for the Grange. Did anyone ask the green apartments how it would impact. The roads around the area need a little work

It kind of forces you to stop at the blind spots

Some of the road section feels too narrow to safely be next to pedestrians. As a driver it seems too narrow and as a pedestrian I wouldn't trust it. That's the part heading north on Maple that I'm referencing. However, as a biker the stop still doesn't feel super vibrant/used, but I assume it would get better with time. Honestly, the triangle area seems like less of an issue area than other parts of Waterbury Center.

A bigger sign explaining everything.

None, it is a great project! Please keep up the good work!

This project confuses me, I drive through this triangle every day twice and I see no change or improvement from the changes made. The line painting for cars does not make sense, I do not believe two cars can fit through at the same time the way the line is painted on Maple St. I do not see an increase in walkers or bikers utilizing the lined off areas.

The roadway seems too narrow now, so fixing that would help. It seems like drivers get confused in that area with all of the pylons and cones and road markings. I know the area and even I get confused driving there!

More visuals needed for the new stop signs

Didn't realize it was needed. The added stops create wear on the vehicle. Also, it is very difficult to navigate while towing a trailer hindering vehicle safety

Remove it all, just keep bike lanes painted on road. Also remove two of the new additional stop signs there very unneeded, we already have enough going in there.

I'd suggest fewer visual elements at the Howard, Gupta, Hollow Rd intersection. It's visually overwhelming, confusing, and unattractive. It's hard to know what to focus your attention on and what it all means. Our quaint little village green feels less attractive and less welcoming. On a different note, the green itself could benefit from changes to make it more accessible for people with disabilities – but I don't think that's part of the scope of this demonstration project.

I thought the walk lane was also for bikes but now I wonder if that's not the case. The symbol painted on the pavement just shows a walker – and when driving I've encountered bikes on the (now narrower) car lanes vs. the walk/bike lane.

Regarding the walk/bike lane, I do have a concern about the actual safety of users as well as safety education for kids. The standard safety rule is to face traffic when walking and to ride in the same direction as traffic when on a bike. Having a walk/bike lane on only one side of the road breaks that rule. Personally, I don't feel safe walking with cars approaching me from behind, or when driving, having bikes come toward me on my side of the road. Also, I've witnessed that when the walk/bike lane ends, users then continue on the "wrong" side of the road. This is not safe for them as they walk/bike and it's not teaching kids proper road safety. (Note: I'm not suggesting walk/bike lanes on both sides of the road. I've driven in two locations that have that kind of set up with cars unable to pass beside each other and have found it confusing.)

Would it be effective to have fewer barriers and designated walk/bike paths and instead have more signage in various places for drivers to slow down? (i.e. GO SLOW, make room for walkers and bikers)

Misc: It's now quite a tight turn for cars turning from Howard Rd onto Maple St.

When turning left from Maple St. onto Howard Rd some people may not see the "Do not enter" sign until they are starting to make the turn.

I have been a resident of Waterbury Center for 68 yrs. I don't believe this project improves safety for anyone. If anything I think it makes it more dangerous, there is not enough room for whatever they were trying to do. I think paying anyone \$97,000 to come up with that plan is definitely a poor use of funds. What I believe would be a better use of the \$97,000 for safety would be better speed control through Waterbury Center. It will not be safe for walkers, bikers and children until you enforce the speed limit!!!

I feel bad, for truck drivers, bus drivers or any large vehicle that need to negotiate the triangle in Waterbury Center.

It is visually confusing so it's unclear where pedestrians/bikes/cars are supposed to be. Because the road is narrowed, there is less area for drivers and bikers. Further, some drivers seem confused/irritated so it has increased dangers for bicyclists.

Aesthetics only...the present overuse of bollards and orange traffic cones is rather unsightly.

I question the value of doing this. If speed is a problem maybe speed humps could help. Additional parking spots are fine. The stop signs are way too much. Making the road overly narrow when there is little walking traffic or walking traffic that could be on the grass is questionable at best. I can't imagine who is to benefit and if there is how many and what is the Remove this mess - it makes our lovely community look like an elementary school project - there were so many other ways this money could have been spent. I have lived in the "Center" much of my life and never felt unsafe on the roads - now as a driver I do - the road isn't wide enough for this project. You could have gotten input by the local community. A mailing could have gone out. The community uses the area behind the fire station - you could have added more playground equipment or other equipment. My neighbor loves the skateboard park - it brings him so much joy. Now that was well spent money! Keep crosswalks and stop signs, get rid of the yellow poles. Put up watch for kids sign and speed radar sign

The only improvement that I can think of is "COMPLETELY RIP IT OUT AND PUT IT BACK THE WAY IT WAS BEFORE."

Blinking light on signs of new 3-way stop at Guptil and Maple intersection: folks are not used to it but it IS safer!

Road is too narrow and has made biking dangerous. While biking I was pushed off the road because there was no room for two cars and my bike. It looks silly, it's dangerous and it's a complete waste of money.

Add to my previous comments: This is not the "Triangle Park". Good grief.

The road is barely wide enough for cars let alone bike lanes. The focus seems to be The Grange, not Waterbury Center. This is not Route 100 or downtown Waterbury's Main Street. Leave our small, mostly residential rural neighborhood alone. The new STOP sign on Guptil Road is long overdue and appreciated.

Eliminate stop sign on Guptil road and Maple street heading south on Guptil.

As a resident at the corner of Howard and Hollow, I have the opportunity to watch the cars pass through the intersection.

Traffic speed thoughts:

The majority of the cars turning from Hollow into Howard towards the Grange are slower, as are cars continuing from 100 up Howard. However, cars traveling west on Howard and turning onto Hollow continue to travel at a fairly high speed.

Additionally, cars traveling from Guptil onto Howard also continue to travel pretty quickly.

The angle of the intersection at Hollow/ Guptil/ Howard can also be tricky for some larger vehicles traveling from Guptil onto Howard towards the Grange (like a school bus).

One thing that this does not address is how Guptil/Hollow has become a cut through for vehicles traveling on 100, but residents and out of staters. And while the additional stop signs at the south end of the green slow traffic, I have concerns about what will happen during the fall leaf peeper season, when we see bumper to bumper traffic already here.

Suggestions:

It seems the the stansions would not be able to remain for the winter. So possibly painting the walking section a different color similar to the walking/bike lane near Shaws.

I would also suggest continuing walking lane down Maple, since that is where many people also walk.

At some point it seems like if this were to become permanent, that the park be extended, rather than just having a mural. Great first step!

The stop sign on the post office side is unnecessary. The bollards are not needed and the crosswalks are not safe where placed

Not to bother. It doesn't work.

Put it back to the way it was before all this foolishness happened!!!!

I think the addition of the designated parking spaces and the structure of the stop sign on Guptil Rd at Hollow Rd are good changes. With the minimal distance of the pedestrian lane that was installed, I don't see much value add in it. Due to the width of Hollow Rd in this area, I already felt safer walking there than on most of the roads in the area. It seems that space for pedestrian traffic on Maple St would be valuable, but it would be more beneficial for the road to be expanded slightly and the pedestrian lane to exist for a longer stretch of the road. The short distance restricts vehicle movement without a corresponding benefit for pedestrian traffic. I also don't see what value the additional stop signs at the corner of Guptil and

The transitions feel a little crowded and I'd put in a flashing crosswalk.

Honestly I would rather add bike lanes /sidewalks rather than changing the lane structure of the road but I understand that is a much more significant investment and I think the demonstration project is a welcome improvement.

Speed bumps

1. Vermont has Walk/Bike Safety laws that need to be abided by when driving. (<https://safestreets.vermont.gov/vermont-walk-and-bike-safety-laws>) Here is a link for a summary of those laws. Remove these pedestrian markings and put up signs that say "share the road." In fact every road in Waterbury Center should have these signs up. We have way to many walkers/runners/bikers etc on all roads now.

2. Redo the parking spaces that come around the park by the Grange, making them parallel parking spots as they were and have been historically.

3. Put in a right hand turn lane at that triangle where those parking spots and road art is, and put in a Yield sign, with an arrow on the road showing it is a right hand turn.

4. Redo all the markings on Howard Ave back to what they were. Large vehicles, such as emergency, school buses and anyone pulling a trailer cannot make a left hand turn onto Maple Street with those pedestrian markings.

5. Remove all the pedestrian markings on Howard Ave and Maple street. On Maple Street if you are traveling north, there is not enough room for two vehicles to pass at the Howard Ave intersection.

6. We all need to be reminded that we are to yield to pedestrians, farm machinery, horses and bikers, and did we not all learn that in Driver's Ed?

The vehicle lanes are too narrow and as a cyclist I find this more dangerous to navigate. It was completely unnecessary and a waste of money.

The travel lane on Guptil is too narrow, and the parking spaces too large. There is plenty of room to adjust. The walkway from the pole to Maple St is also way too wide, making the travel lane too narrow.

Wendall R. Kirk

Get rid of the eyesore!! This is not Times Square!!

The turn into Maple St. from Howard ave is weird.

There just isn't enough paved space on Maple St. for a bike/pedestrian lane.

Turning right from Howard Avenue onto Guptil Road is so narrow I've had to wait to turn before the car heading straight moved. It also seems many people are doing rolling stops and/or are not stopping whereas before they were. There need to be better lines on Guptil Road. I appreciate all of the hard work being put into making this area safer.

The Town needs to let the Vermont State Police know about this project and that if it becomes permanent that when an accident occurs on Route 100, re-routing traffic onto Maple Street would be going counter to the Town's attempt to make Maple Street safer. Of course, the Vermont State Police can still do whatever they want, but communicating with them Return it to how it was before. The stop signs are unnecessary and ruin the flow of traffic. The mural looks tacky and is distracting. The bollards are in the way of biking. The car lanes are too narrow with the new parking spaces. It was much The bollards and flower barrels only make it more difficult to walk and bike. The parking places make the road too narrow. The new stop signs are not necessary and only ruin the flow of traffic. I would like it returned to the way it was. please remove all those new stop signs at maple/guptil, it feels like a huge waste. there really is not enough traffic to warrant the 2 additional stops. the one stop at maple is fine. I will roll through as I see it as a waste of my very expensive gas. I do not live in this area of waterbury center, so I cannot comment on walking safety. Biking, I take alternate routes and do not do through. I think you can keep the walking/biking safety lanes, but please remove those signs.

The distracting multi- color triangle should be all yellow instead.

Sidewalks!

I love the stop signs but I'd much rather see a permanent sidewalk installed around the green and down some of the other streets than the walking lane in the street. Maybe from the post office and down past the park for people to walk around Speed tables.

Some of the roads are too narrow for the areas left for pedestrians.

The three way stop is GREAT! Would be pleased to see this permanent. The bike/walk lane on Maple street is a great idea and I am concerned how narrow it makes the driving lanes in that area. I have seen a couple of close calls when there is a larger truck and another vehicle passing through at the same time. Is it possible to widen the road in that location to The road not wide enough for cones being installed. Great to have parking lines great idea . The painting and blocking off of road a little much. We have a hole park for that rarely used . We have bike lane nobody uses it they still ride in middle of road that is not a design issue. Stop sign at hollow still in trees traffic not stopping. If we are going to put money into It's not wide enough. I do like the additional stop signs. I have a 17 yr old driver and a 15 yr old, learning to drive, permit driver. Both of them feel very nervous driving with the new haphazard lines and borders. If the road was wider, and the new lines were placed better, it would be a helpful addition to those of us who walk and bike there. It seems like it was just The car lanes still feel very narrow

I would like to see the bollards removed, but I like the road markings and the mural. I'm not sure we need the stop sign heading south on Guptil Rd. at the 3-way stop at the Maple Street intersection. It has definitely calmed traffic in the area Keep those stop signs and new road markings. However, the narrowing of the road space with road markings makes it dangerous as cars are coming at each other as they pass the village green by the Hope Davies Park. Love that there are stop signs at Maple & Guptil now. Love that the intersection at Howard, Hollow, and Guptil is safer. Love the mural. I agree that there should be a pedestrian lane along Howard and maple to the park, but the travel lanes are too narrow and I noticed that most of the barriers are now gone. It was difficult turning left onto Maple from Howard because it felt very tight. I'm still not sure what the plan is to slow traffic down on Maple past Loomis. That's where people drive at The physical orange and yellow posts set up that are separating the walking/biking lanes make the driving lanes very narrow for larger trucks and I've seen some close calls for when there is two-way traffic in those areas. Additionally for plows, I don't think those are going to be good. I am fine with all the other changes except the posts. Walking feels slightly safer in that area because people are paying more attention right now since the changes are new- I don't know if that will go back to Think it's a great start, like to see walking lanes continue down Maple St... lots of walkers there! Still a pole in the middle if what should be an intersection at Howard and Maple. The fastest cars now are those coming down Maple, around that corner and either a rolling stop or blowing right through around on Hollow. I think a proper intersection there solves that issue. Otherwise all cars have been slowed down around here for sure, which is great. I think ideally the mural area becomes a grassy area that is part of the green, not sure if that is the plan anyway. Been here for 18 years now and have always hated that expansive paved area with the pole in the middle of it at Guptil and Howard. So happy to see all the work and careful thought into improvements and changes on that confusing and unsightly intersection. We also need signage for no engine brakes through here (Hollow/Guptil), and who knows how to deal with the bananas fall traffic jams we have seen the last 2 years thanks to Google maps and dashboard navigation...nightmare. Don't listen to all the complainers who just want to drive their trucks faster through here, how it's so different than it was, and how terrible it looks now. I live in the I'd like to see one more handicap parking spot. When larger SUVs or a truck parks in the last parallel spot nearest Guptil and Maple intersection it make seeing cars coming south from Stowe Hollow more difficult.

I love the stops signs, the work with the triangle area and the walkway near the Hope Davey parking area. The one draw back is how narrow the road becomes in the marked off walking zone along Maple Street. It feels too narrow for two cars and once the weather changes will be challenging. If Maple St could be widened in that area, that would be ideal. but of course, that would be pricy! FYI, I have not walked in the area, only driven. Thanks for all the work you do.

Add real sidewalks, enhance connection to state park!!!

I love the new stop signs. The travel lanes got so narrow with the posts in for the pedestrian lane that it got a little tight by the Hope Davey entrance

This has had a huge positive impact on how our family moves about the Center. Living at 32 Maple has given us the ability to see how this project has improved life for not only us but also our neighbors. We have two school age boys that are just getting old enough to go to Hope Davey for practice and the skatepark to play with friends. Prior to the project I wouldn't let the boys play in our driveway let alone walk up to the park without an adult. The cars coming from Guptil would really accelerate out of the corner and FLY up Maple Street with zero consideration for the families trying to live outdoors in the common space of the Center, let alone the speed limit. Backing out of our driveway historically was concerning due to the speed of vehicles whipping around the corner from Guptil. Now with the pedestrian lane and stop signs our boys are able to bike safely up to the park, I can back out of my driveway without being overly concerned that we will be hit by an accelerating distracted driver. I would love to see a few more of the vertical yellow posts reinstalled by the pedestrian lane on Maple Street. Additionally, I have been able to watch our neighbors picking up their kids from Daycare on Howard walk in the pedestrian lanes while juggling a stroller and their younger children on strider bikes. Our level of concern about drivers has been reduced, people are driving slower (closer to the speed limit) this project is a visual reminder to share the road. We love love love the changes made with the project. Having the triangle party last week was a great opportunity to focus more on improvements on Maple Street. The vast majority of pedestrian and bike traffic is along guptil road and maple Street not on howard or hollow road. In prior presentations there was a proposal of bike/walk lanes on both sides of Maple Street with removal of the double yellow centerline. I would strongly encourage moving forward with this from the Maple Street Cemetery to at least the corner with guptil road if not the post office. It doesn't require bollards, just signs and lane changes and maybe some permanent planters (tall ones not the little wooden boxes currently in the triangle) to narrow perceived roadwidth. The new stop sign on guptil road going north seems effective, though a yield sign and a large permanent planter placed in the bend to cause "friction" and narrow the perceived roadwidth (similar to what is done on Mansfield Ave in Burlington) would likely achieve a similar result. I have noticed many accelerated sharply afterwards so a yield may ease that need to return to speed. The new stop sign on guptil road southbound is redundant to the one located at the intersection with Howard Ave and does not appear to be an improvement. Continuing the pedestrian lane out to route 100, cold hollow cider, or waterbury state park would be a great long term improvement. There are many towns in Canada with bollarded bike lanes on their main numbered highway through town (route 100 equivalent) and this caused a The art is fantastic and the parking spaces on Guptil road in front of the green are really helpful. The stop signs on either side of the green are helping to slow cars down and I agree that moving some of the partitions on Maple street was the way to go. It is nice to have community members using the park again. We live at 2007 Guptil road at street level and have front The maple st section is unsafe for cars and pedestrians. It's too narrow and the jog is too abrupt. That section need to be much wider to accommodate everything. The stop sign from Howard ave to maple is not visible enough. Since the work I now see cars not stopping at that intersection, when before they did.

Traffic lanes way too narrow on Maple. Staggered yellow lines are crazily confusing and can't safely accommodate 2 vehicles passing. Yellow poles confuse and distract drivers esp. after dark. Way too busy visually. Have seen multiple drivers blow thru long existing stop sign when driving from Grange to Maple, across from Murph's barn, when I never saw that before. The pedestrian issue is not at all around the triangle green. There is a grass green to walk along there if needed! The pedestrian issue is along Maple esp at dawn and dusk. The painted crosswalks and parking spots are all I would keep. Better align the crosswalk at the top of Guptil with the pedestrian lane. Make clear where pedestrians should walk if only one side of the street has a protected lane. The mural area could have pavement removed, trees planted to help narrow road. Extend pedestrian lanes up/down Maple Street. Add "children playing" or wayfinding signage. The mural area could have more parking, or a road truck. It seems like wasted space that won't get used cause tarmac is so hot

I like the added stop sign locations. There should be an added crosswalk from the triangle to the Grange Hall. Making a right turn from Guptil Rd on to Howard St toward the Grange is difficult due to the mural. If the school bus or a truck and trailer had to make it, there could be a problem. Where Maple St meets Guptil Rd should be more ninety degrees.

Marked pedestrian lane further up maple. Crosswalks and or speed bumps near hope davey park entrances

Keep up the great work! A great foundation for more - public art, gatherings, music

I would add more sideways parking and add more mixed use zoning

The demonstration project is great as is. I'm interested in seeing how we can safely interconnect with other areas.

First I love what the vision is and to make the area safer and easier to access other than driving improvements:

1. The Ballards make it feel less safe and challenging to drive. The walking lines are great.
2. Can a walking path be paved or made through the triangle park rather than on the side of road.
3. For the cross walks would it be possible to get the flashing lights on the cross walks signs and button.

I love the community art. The kids and I used the walking path and it did feel safer. For what it's worth Waterberry has a civic engagement problem. Please don't let a few negative. Nelle is take this fun project down!

The three way stop at Guptil/Maple Street is life changing...sooo soo good!

Take down the bollards, they are difficult for vehicles to maneuver especially if there's an oncoming vehicle. Widen the road at the Howard/Maple Street intersection. I love the parking area and the mural though!

1) Move the telephone pole out of the middle of the road. Trucks driving south on Guptil cannot make the turn, but snow plows and trucks carrying dirt sometimes need to turn right at that intersection. 2) We still need a spot for snow in the winter. In winter the snowplows clear the intersection, as well as portions of Guptil and Howard, and they push all the snow into the Triangle Green, specifically to where the painted chalk design is now. They still need to do so this winter but will be unable to because of the area with the chalk design is blocked. 3) Howard Rd between Maple and Guptil is much too narrow now, since the addition of the pedestrian path. School buses have a hard time making that turn and our neighbors on the corners of Howard/Guptil and Howard/Maple can no longer back in and out of their driveways safely. Can you move the pedestrian walkway onto the grass in the Triangle Green itself? Then simply add "share the road" signs along Howard between Maple and Guptil, so that bikes and cars know to share the same lane. Still keep all the crosswalks, just move people into the Triangle Green and use signs to bikes/cars share the road in that small stretch. There isn't room in this small

--LOVE the traffic calming that forces people to share the road properly and recognize that there are many users of the roadways, not just cars. Also makes us practice awareness and courtesy.

--LOVE that art has been included. We need a clear and equitable process of bringing art into the green.

--Maintaining 2-way traffic on all streets surrounding the green and down Howard Ave, Guptil Rd, and Hollow Rd to Route 100(!!!). High traffic moments require it. The green is the primary mode of access in and out the Waterbury Center hamlet, which is also important for emergency vehicle access.

--Make the intersection of Maple and Howard a T, not a Y. It would slow traffic like the other stop signs, keep people from accelerating onto Howard. We could also gain some green space to the green that way too. (Happy to map out my thoughts, which will not have to move the existing power pole or also will not bottleneck that junction as the bollards did).

--Parking is critical for everyone. If we are going to follow through on the validity of the state Village Designation in the center, we need to plan for some commercial activity to grow around the green (= adequate parking + traffic flow).

--Please extending the ped/bike path into the green to retain the current parking spaces on Howard Ave.

--Extending the ped/bike path up Maple St, and down Howard Ave and Guptil Rd. to slow traffic and make those streets calmed and safer to travel on foot or bike (or w/stroller).

--Making all the parking on the south side of the green angled for additional parking.

--Please move the crosswalk to center on the GHCC front door so the current and much needed ADA parking spot on GHCC property is not lost or impeded. It is very much needed there.

Thanks!

Change the Howard Maple intersection so that it is a T. Push the pedestrian path on Howard into the green/park and restore the parking on the south side of Howard. Move the pedestrian path on Maple to the other side of the street and off the car travelled lane (still in town right-of-way) with crosswalk on Howard then a crosswalk on Maple just before the next telephone poll. No easy solution to Howard Guptil intersection without moving the telephone pole but that really needs to be done. Maple/Guptil intersection could be improved with harder corners; 3 way stop is unnecessary but also not bad.

Smoother transitions - line shifts are two abrupt

Turning right from Howard onto Guptil is near impossible without running over the yellow bump strip for the crosswalk. The road needs widening for drivers to feel safe navigating as well. That's probably why the skinny cone barriers didn't last more than a day unfortunately. The extra stop signs slow people down, and that may have been the only thing we really needed.

My improvement is to take out all of those cones which are a distraction. What if we hit one of them and do some kind of damage to our car? Is Waterbury responsible for repair to my car after insurance is adjusted? Traffic is much more difficult for large vans and delivery trucks. What's the point of the artwork? It's ok, but who is going to look at it? The whole area is overdone. You have gilded the lily.

What is the purpose of the cones? We've been driving, walking around this vicinity for hundreds of years. We know the road. We're not clueless. This is a small community. We're well aware of where the roads are. The markings belittle our intelligence.

The road markings and cones take away for the rural quiet ambiance of the area which is what makes Vermont charming. They serve no useful purpose. We're not NYC. If you want cones, markings, directions, go there.

The Bryans are the earliest tax paying citizens of Waterbury Center. We have lived it here for generations and we love it I worry people used the safety lane reflectors as targets!!

Please continue the bike lane down Guptil and Maple Street and down Hollow Road so folks / kids can safely cycle to get ice Sidewalks

Road narrows too much near bike lane and yellow line variability is challenging.

The sidewalks take too much room from the travel lane making it difficult to navigate with any larger vehicle. If the pedestrian lanes stay they should be expanded off the roadway.

Wider lanes on maple street but keep the walk/bike markings (and add share the road signs)

road feels narrow to drive on and maybe could be expanded

Clear signage as to use of shared road

The added bike/walking lane has created an awkward zigzag for cars that is inconvenient. At the 4-way stop, turning right from Guptil forces cars to cut into the opposite lane. For school buses, the intersection is next to impossible. The new stop signs are an annoyance, but ultimately neither positively or negatively impact the community. The crosswalks were a good addition, but everything else was unnecessary. Please consider reverting some of the changes to how they were originally.

This, although a good faith attempt, is such a small drop in the hat. There are so many safety concerns in Waterbury Center and I would love to see a connection between the center and the village. Additionally, the narrowing of the road at the beginning of Maple Street has exacerbated other safety concerns.

A sidewalk pretty please

PLEASE make the walking path/bike lane longer! Maple St is a huge walking street all the way to Guild Hill. would love to make it safer the whole way

The road is too narrow for the area making it really difficult to drive through which could lead to unsafe situations

Love the stop sign improvements and painted white lines at stop signs, especially at south end of triangle. The south end feels safer to walk. Street mural space is beautiful and great improvement! Remove bollards/cones (feel unnecessary) but keep idea of removing double yellow and painting pedestrian/bikes lines similar to the NH (?) example provided in the presentation at the grange where road markings/paint promote shared road and slower traffic and extend this from cemetery through to post office along Guptil/Maple corridor which is more frequently used by peds/bikes. It would have been nice to see this type of road marking implemented on the east side of the triangle as an example during the As a resident that lives near the demonstration project I feel that the new yellow lines in the center of Maple St. are much more dangerous than before the project. It is very problematic with two way traffic and actually dangerous if there is there is a larger truck or truck with a trailer; which travel that roadway frequently. I've had some close calls. I wholeheartedly support the better connections project but I feel strongly that the traffic lanes have gotten significantly more dangerous I'm very nappy. It's simple and easy to navigate. I feel much safer walking to and from the Grange Hall events particularly at night. Thank you!

The yellow markers on Maple Street made the road a little tight, but otherwise I love all the changes that were made. It's now much safer to walk from Hope Davey Park to the triangle park, the Grange, and Howard Avenue. The parking is much more efficient. The additional stop signs make that intersection safer. The dangerous NW corner where nobody knew which I don't think this project is needed. If it is installed permanently, pave enough of the green space to provide diagonal parking along the entire Guptil Road side of the triangle. Eliminate the mural section -- it is confusing. Do not narrow the road in front of the grange, and also move the side walk onto the green space as a paved path.

I like the designated parking. I don't think it has changed much as far as car travel. The extra stop sign doesn't bother me. Hopefully they will move the utility pole to make taking a right towards Maple street easier. Other than that I don't think it Walk on the green (perhaps make an accessible perimeter path or cross the green meandering path) rather than narrowing the roadways, delete the painting (it is distracting and serves no purpose), maybe add stop signs if the traffic needs to be Stop signs, parking and cross walk are great!

bollards along the walking lane on Maple St, making the double striped yellow line more continuous from Maple to Guptil I will start by saying, I recognize the people and what they are trying to accomplish. My hat is off to you all. But it just doesn't work. The road is now too narrow and would question if it is legal. How does it work in the winter time, with all those yellow post in the way? I have yet to really see anyone using the walking and biking areas that were established. The area of the awkward pole people talk about, well now if someone miss the turn to Maple Street, there is no way they are making the turn by the awkward pole to get back to Maple Street, and I have see this in my years of living in the center. I have seen the big box truck that leaves the post office, having to go back to the post office for whatever reason. But now it can not make that turn because that triangle is cut off! We do not need Waterbury Center looking like a city, this project is

The stop signs at guptil and maple make complete sense and have been successful at slowing people down. I think the pedestrian lane between the parking spots along the triangle green and the grange also makes sense. The pedestrian lane between the hope Davey lot and toward the grange don't really work great. I don't think it makes it feel safer as a pedestrian in this section. I also generally think many of these pedestrian lanes feel like they don't have a clear purpose or the two additional stop signs at Guptil and Maple street seem unnecessary.

All of it - such a huge improvement. Thank you for these changes - the three way stop alone is so impactful!

The all way stop for Guptil and Maple makes total sense and should be permanent. I like the Mural and how that section is more obviously closed for vehicles. I don't think the advisory lane/yellow cones add very much though. It's a short distance the area at the end of Maple Street between Guptil Road and Howard Ave is too narrow for 2 cars (or a car and a truck to pass safely)

I'd love it to extend further on maple - I think an intersection crossing at Loomis Hill would be particularly useful, seeing how many kids and pedestrians take maple to get to the park. Overall, as a mom of two young kids, I feel so much better about them traveling on scooters and bikes. I recognize that it is right in a car, but that is the point. It slows them down. There is no need for cars to be taking this section of the road quickly. I'm sad to see some of the barriers have already been removed. The demonstration is more dangerous. It narrowed the road so only one vehicle can fit through at a time and creates more harm for pedestrians who may be trying to walk or bike through the cones. Several of the cones have been hit and only a couple remain because of how narrow and dangerous it is.

I would remove all the changes, they seem to have made the overall safety of the area worse.

Please remove everything. It is worse now than it was before

Rip every component of this project out. It has been an utter failure, complete waste of time and money, and has overall decreased the safety and aesthetics of the area

There is not enough foot traffic to warrant any changes. Money better spent elsewhere.

Guptil Rd narrowing by the park is too narrow. Hard to get a trailer through if anyone is coming the other way. Large trucks also frequently come into the other travel lane. The yellow bollards are also pretty ugly. Makes the whole area feel more No side walks? No crosswalk from seminary building on hollow road to Howard ave. This is a very dangerous street. large vehicles/ boats can't turn onto maple ave road too narrow. New parking spaces are hard to get around. This did not improve anything. Except for grange hall. Graffiti on park area terrible idea very unsafe for everyone.

Adding the stop sign is a great change! Reducing many potential accidents and slowing traffic entering the Center.

Was there a problem that necessitated putting in stop signs? Were there accidents there? I grew up in the center and live in town but still drive through the area regularly and I've never seen or heard of an accident there. Maybe my anecdotal opinion can be disproven through data. Maybe not. If it can't it seems like this project "solved" a problem that never was a problem.

Second, the advisory shoulder is silly. It makes it look less like an inviting village center and more like a permanent construction project. Trees are nice. Vegetation is nice. Orange is not natural. It is ugly and plain and simple: I have never

Rip every component of this project out. It has been an utter failure, complete waste of time and money, and has overall decreased the safety and aesthetics of the area

Rip it all out

I would remove the whole thing. It is an eyesore and is unnecessary for the area.

school bus could not turn right until all cars coming from guptil road got through intersection. not enough room.

Revert back to original. I've lived in Waterbury Center for 60 years and walk down Maple Street and around the park almost daily. Prey project I have never felt unsafe nor have I had a single issue

The bike lane to the park doesn't work. It's too narrow especially if you have a truck and trailer and people don't walk that way to the park. Most of the people that use the park and walk maple street live on the street not down at the triangle.

People walk up maple street. That is where work needs to be done for bike and pedestrian safety. .

It is a good start. What are objectives? Decorative. Safety. Harmony and balance.

The handicapped parking is not actually true to space as if it were a true "handicapped" place it would have the crossed lines on the passenger side to allow for ramp vans.. and the section would be larger to allow for room for wheelchairs to maneuver safely. There is not enough room for two vehicles to pass each other on the Howars Ave side of the park between Hollow and the end of Maple Street. The parking spots come out too fsr yo allow safety. I see more people run the new stop The 3 way stop is fine. The driving lane on Maple is way too narrow. I feel like I have to stop if I meet a car. The turn at the corner of Guptil Rd and Howard Ave. is too sharp if you're turning right. The lane next to the new parking spots also feels too narrow. The artwork is lovely, but the turn would be easier if it was curved. Please listen to the comments.

Never put it there to begin with. There's plenty of walking and biking paths that our taxes pay for.

Making sure that cars can fit together on the road. Narrowing the road is not the answer.

Very unsafe with the narrow road. You have to cross over into the other lane to fit in a truck

Take your Burlington ways back to Burlington

Just regular crosswalks. What's there now makes the vehicle lanes too narrow and it's more dangerous. The "walking" lane is ridiculous. Keep the added stop signs as those appear to be working to slow people down.

remove the rails when you turn onto mapie street, difficult for cars, and no where have I have seen anything like this, not a good use of time or money

Leave well enough alone from before.

What quacks designed this?????

It looks hideous!!!!

Don't get me started on the lack of space for 1st responders!!!!

Leave it alone it worked perfectly for years! You can't hardly get two cars or trucks by each other without having an accident waiting to happen ! And especially it's right by the fire station how do they get through there if there is cars coming

It is pointless and ridiculous!

The committee had good intentions however, this is not an improvement at all. It's actually less safe and uncalled for. It's a rural community, leave it as it is.

I would them to work on the area between best western and going into Waterbury restaurants and then goi g towards Ben and Jerry's,, this area improvements on walking area.

You want a bike path, do it without making lanes so narrow. And ridiculous amount of stop signs. And the miral on the ground is childish. Stop acting like this is urban nonsense and let things alone. Tell the flat landers to deal with it like us

The added stop sign at the intersection of Guptil Rd and Maple St is unnecessary. There is not enough traffic through there to justify an added stop sign. Additionally, the road is not wide enough to add walking areas and take away from vehicular traffic. People following the speed limit and enforcement of the speed limit would be more beneficial.

Put it back the way it was it don't need all the parking no business there anymore, its too narrow for the new lanes no room for cars or buses to get through does not seem safer to me just a big waste of money. seems to be more important things It is not big enough for cars. It looks like it is made for bikers who do not pay towards road maintenance which is not fair. Remove it!!!

Back the way it was!!

You leave the area alone their is way less children then ever around that area. It is creating traffic issues by narrowing already narrow roads. You can no longer turn right at the pole so if you have a big truck or trailer they will have to go through the Hollow or Howard Ave which both are not the safest way. This is way overkill for a area that is not all that busy their is not a single business in the area anymore. And why must we continue to change things that benefit a few when this I think it's ok if is done by volunteer. If done with tax payer money it's waisted money.

Bollards installed on roadway can be hit by an automobile and become a flying object hitting a pedestrian in the walkway casing injuries.

The tall pillars are not necessary. They make the road feel claustrophobic, inaccessible, and are an eye sore.

Fantastic improvement for pedestrians, bikers, kids in strollers! Obviously intersection of Howard & Maple Lanes are too narrow for larger vehicles. Especially emergency vehicles that frequently move through there. There aren't many places to pull over for emergency vehicles. There is no designated bike lane. When headed toward Howard Avenue and stoping at the stop sign next to the Grange, drivers can't see any potential oncoming traffic from the left, because they can't see around the vehicles parked in front of the park. Snow banks will bring more visibility, parking, and lane width issues during the winter months. Honestly, I don't know why they bothered to put in cross walks, because the past few evenings I've driven through there, I have seen pedestrians and kids on scooters buzzing across the streets not even using Need to provide traffic flow that isn't confusing to everyone. this design doesn't do this. too many cross overs for people. Should go back to the way it was. Waste of the towns money and resources on this.

Leave the crosswalks and remove everything else including added stop signs!!

this is just a hazard its so narrow trucks cant move around there have been several near miss accidents since this joke was put in for the 10 bikes a day

there wasnt enough traffic to warrant this mess

Demolition

First, I don't think the 3 way stop sign from maple street, Howard ave, and guptil road is necessary, there have never been issues there before besides cars parked on the side of the road blocking view turning off of maple street, but now that there's parking that won't be an issue anymore anyways. The lanes on maple street are far too narrow for cars to safely pass through and it's like driving through an obstacle course so you're paying so much attention to not hitting the posts that you don't even realize there's a pedestrian until they're right in front of you, but before clearly was looking out for pedestrians because everyone's always walking or biking on maple street and guptil road. Also, something with what was put up there

Remove yellow barriers leave crosswalks

Leave the added stop sign and crosswalk remove the bike lanes and barriers. way too narrow now. was fine before drove it every day.

The space should have been taken from the park, not the roadway. When turning from Maple onto Howard and vice verse is too narrow. The lanes are too narrow when hauling a trailer. When meeting on coming vehicles it's too tight.

Pedestrians walking side by side with their dogs down the roadway is still happening and is more dangerous now than it was

Reduce the size of the parking spaces on the side of the park - they make the travel lane too small. Remove the pylons for the bike lane on Maple St as it makes it hard to have enough space to turn onto the street from in front of the old Grange Hall. Make more parking spaces where the mural is; it doesn't aid the traffic flow or for pedestrians.

The yellow poles to create a walking/bike lane, do not provide a safe lane width for traveling cars, especially ones turning from Howard. Additionally, the painted lines are not straight. We don't need it and the grant money could have been used It ran 100% smoother before than it ever is now. It's too confusing and it doesn't make any sense. There was absolutely no issues with how traffic ran and how pedestrians were walking.

It's too busy, large trucks and trailers can't navigate it as it is! I agree with the need for a crosswalk and parking spaces by the park no problem, but the yellow posts the art work while lovely is distracting and unnecessary! I believe it causes too much congestion to an already congested area! And the new stop signs do not seem necessary and create more confusion. A bike was on the outside twice in the last two days, in the very narrow new driving lanes, so they are making it even worse than before! If it is temporary it should be deemed a complete failure and removed, ASAP kids are out of school this week Go back to way it wss

Remove it all, it dangerous to all, bikers are now in the middle of the road, dog walkers are now in the middle of the road, driving lanes are more narrow, can an emergency vehicle even fit threw them? Just take it out This does not feel a single bit safer in any way!!! The lanes are too narrow, people aren't even using the "bike" lane cuz it's a joke, if you're walking down Maple Street, you're then supposed to cross the road over to the bike lane for like 50' then cross back over and continue your walk down Maple without a bike lane - like it makes absolutely no sense, and there is no need for crosswalks in this area. People walk daily and are always able to cross the road with no issues.

Putting the area back how it was.

Absolutely unnecessary to add all of that to such a small area. Is there really that much traffic in the center to cause such an issue ? Maybe if there was a convenience store or local shop to contribute to the area but nope. If this is just o make the Not a good area to make changes due to limited space

it's confusing, lanes are narrower and doesn't seem to be safer

The best change would be is to put it back the way it was. Please don't make it permanent. It's horrible.

Remove it. The design is horrible, it certainly does not make anyone safer.

Get rid of it

Go back to the way it was- and instead figure out a speed control for Maple Street

Take it down. Make side walks, widen the road. It's so confusing doesn't make sense.

as a Waterbury center resident my whole life. This project was a waste of money and downright stupid

Put it back the way it was until better research can be done.

Remove the barriers. Travel lanes are to narrow.

The parking spaces and cross walk are fine, but the bike and walking lanes are unnecessary. As a regular runner of Maple street, and Guptil for the last 20 years, we did not need an extra lane for that to feel safe. The mural seems counterintuitive as a distraction to drivers and again, unnecessary. The parking spaces make sense, maybe just extend those or the green out further instead. That money could have been used to better the road conditions or the bridges already on Guptil instead of

The whole thing is nothing but an eye sore!

I live near the intersection of Maple and Guptil and the added stop signs there are added noise of all the cars having to stop in all 3 directions now. It's annoying. The overall area looks awful. Having the parking stalls by the park are nice but they are WAY TOO BIG, and because of it the lanes are way too NARROW!!

It's terrible, such a waste of money that could be spent on better safety goals like the speed on Maple Street.

There is absolutely nothing good to say about this project. It's horrible, and making the area more dangerous - make it go away!!

The only good thing I can see from this "Project" is the addition of parking spaces by the park, however they were made WAY TOO BIG which has now made the travel lanes way too narrow which is more of a hazard. The narrow lanes in front of the grange and on Maple at the intersection are way too narrow which is causing more of a traffic hazard than anything. Please don't make us deal with this all summer - it's making things worse!!!! The focus needs to be on overall speeds on Maple Street - multiple speed tables are needed which will slow traffic down and make the area safer for everyone. Remove everything and add speed bumps to help with the dangerous speeds some are driving on Maple Street and Guptil road

Remove it. Too narrow. Unnecessary stop signs

I would change where it bottlenecks the traffic from Guptil to Maple Street. You cannot safely fit two mid sized vehicles through this area

The improvement would be removing it and fix the pot holes

I continue to say something needs to be done to address the speed of cars coming and going on Maple Street. That is my biggest safety concern for walkers and bikers. And I'm not just talking about by the park, but all the way up Maple Street.

Absolutely stupid. Stop catering to out of staters that don't contribute to taxes (which you spend recklessly on useless projects like this)

Today there was an accident on route 100 which caused cars to be rerouted through the center down maple street to get on Guptil road. The chicanes near the crosswalks that were put up towards the parking lot for the playground caused such a bottle neck that trucks had no choice but to run them over. This design choice is baffling to the majority of the center as we all remember well what traffic was like during the accident on rte 100 during the eclipse. That bottleneck is unsafe and almost caused countless accidents. I think the rest of the design worked well with the influx of traffic but that section near the crosswalks needs to be either removed or have the road widened to accommodate larger vehicles. There were problems before the traffic influx as well, construction vehicles take up both lanes passing through that tight area not allowing for cars to pass through. On the positive, I think the additions of stop signs has helped tremendously with overall traffic safety, and I Did anyone think of a path through the park instead of shirking of the road ?

I know a person in a be car that had a close call with a school bus making the turn off hollow road and cut the corner to These questions don't reflect the challenges. Does it feel safer, sure. Is it more confusing, frustrating and difficult for drivers, yes. boats and trailers can't make a left turn from Howard onto Maple, it seems the cross walks are just leading walkers to the Grange, you can look both ways and cross without a crosswalk, I have been walking with children on this road for 30 years I have never had an issue . I wish they had spent 97,000 on a walking/biking trail off the road.

It is an eye sore & should not be installed permanently. How will town plow around it in the winter? If speeding is a concern, speed bumps/tables should be added.

I feel that the bollards make both the pedestrian and vehicular areas feel confined. In addition, I feel that they are out of keeping with their environment, makes on feel like you're in the city!

This takes away the old style charm of the park and also makes it very hard to navigate with a truck and trailer as the road way narrows and lines are not well proportioned .

The purple area with graphics does not match the charm of the park . (City look)

It would be nice to see how many people are actually walking or biking in that area. I don't feel there is a lot of pedestrian traffic there (except during specific events). Most of the pedestrians or bikers I encounter are further up maple street. They either live along that stretch or park in the Hope Davey lots and walk north from there. I am often pulling out and around Stupid idea for a rural location with little to no traffic. Wasted taxpayer grant money. Go back to original and keep it simple. No room for large trucks. Most all residents yield to walkers and bikers out of respect. This is a poor decision that has almost caused multiple accidents since installed. This creates more maintenance and poor safety concerns due to the new It truly is chaos, worse than a construction zone. I drive this area multiple times a day for 30 years. The amount of foot/bike traffic does not reflect this amount of dedication to disrupt vehicle traffic. Hearing many people that have concerns driving their trailers in this area will be a constance need for maintenance. Has this been considered? Will the town be responsible for damage to trailers or larger vehicles that cannot navigate the narrow lanes and turn areas?

Some areas are great, such as the parking spots added. The new stop area around the pole is sloppy, again chaos.

Was there a rush to use this money? Wondering why side walks were not considered rather than taking Rd space from vehicles. I just don't understand. This whole area looks rushed and overcompensating for ignorance.

There is always room for compromise and meeting needs for both sides of the road, so to speak. This was not handled in respect for everyone that lives & travels these roads. This approach does not reflect community. Very disappointed how this way handled, almost like it was known it would go poorly but needed to rush spending for funds.

The improvement would be do not install it permanently, remove it now and fix the pot holes. I have lived in the center for well over 50 years and never saw the need for making it look like we are in some city some where. Traffic has always gone way to fast there but adding confusion isn't going to slow them down...hit them in the pocketbook, hire a cop to sit there on

Put things back the way they were. I never saw this area as a problem spot.

The road isn't wide enough for extra walk/bike lanes. The road needs to be widened for it to be safe. The lanes are barely wide enough for a car and not wide enough at all for a school bus not to mention the fire station is there and there is no

As a driver it's too dangerous - too many moving pieces. The width of the road cannot accommodate. Did anyone find out why the corner of the park (blue area) was designed like it is? It's a piece of history

This is not the area to focus on. Maybe get the speeding under control by the park.

Get rid of the bike lane, it is too narrow on that road for a bike lane

what a mess I cant fit thru there pulling a trailer and its almost causing accidents trying to get larger vehicles thru whoever did this survey clearly drives a civic and has never tried to drive a commercial truck fire truck or larger vehicle I cant believe we wasted tax money on this with all the other things money need to be spent on the walkers and bikers have had no issues for years why this why now

the posts are damaging my trailer because its impossible to fit thru but i guess you dont care cause the priuses fit thru fine years but now its like a one way obstacle course and far to many cars thru here for something like that

Reduce speed

More police presence

The lanes need to be wider on the Guptil portion of the triangle. I'm always driving into the other lane naturally and to avoid potholes. I think the lane is too small on both sides. Perhaps a Yield for traffic instead on the Guptil rd corner next to the mint green house. You can see if theres traffic coming so theres no point to stop if there's no cars its a waste of gas.

Of all the kids who performed this work not one of them was wearing any proper PPE and there was no direction of traffic flow, the just scatter like ants when a car approached. Ironic they were there for safety. Lived in the center my entire life. Never once witnessed or heard of an incident. The stop sign especially simply doesn't make sense

Nothing what you need to do is stop the speeding on maple street

Stop ruining the small town landscape that we have had for decades. Let's get real for once and stop this nonsense. Try driving a truck with a trailer in that mess you put up. Maybe you should involve the working class folks before coming up with these brain dead ideas! And it just looks ridiculous as it gets.

The bike/walking lane needs to go. The road there is too narrow. Larger vehicles passing at the same time are hitting with disaster nevermind utility vehicles, vehicles hauling trailers, dump trucks, etc. This honestly is ridiculous to drive through! The road is a straight away, easy to see pedestrians which are honestly far and few between. This isn't a good fix, its a disaster and quite frankly looks awful!

All needs to removed other than the parking spaces and making the intersection just two lanes at the for way.

Part of it is, there is not enough room for two cars to go through the intersection now, especially if cars are parked on both sides of the road. The fluorescent poles in the middle are very distracting and feel more dangerous. It is too busy. I like the project in theory but would have loved to have known about it ahead of time so that input could have been given first. Right The only thing I think makes sense is the intersection of Howard/Hollow and Guptil. The new three way stop makes no sense there are never accidents there and the other intersection with the pilons on Maple ST is ridiculously narrow, you should try driving a fire truck through there and meet a car, it doesn't work. It looks like a drunk set that intersection up

Keep the stop signs and the extra parking spaces get rid of everything else and put speed bumps on Maple Street

Turn it back to the way it was.

It is not logical at all. The area that was manipulated makes no sense. All that was done is lanes are tighter and creates a more dangerous setting without making the road actually wider. Safety issues and concerns come from speed all the way up Maple Street, especially the stretch from Loomis Hill to just beyond the cemetery. I find it hard to believe that a passenger vehicle and a large truck such as UPS, FedEx, dump truck, school bus, ambulance, fire truck, milk truck (all vehicles that travel that road daily and at all hours of the day) could pass each other safely in the newly manipulated area all while someone walking feels comfortable.

Again, speed on the street is the issue, especially further up Maple St beyond Loomis Hill. I have lived in Waterbury my entire life and off of Maple Street for 15 years. I have never had a problem slowing down and moving over for walkers and bikers while I drive even under the speed limit through a residential area and as long as walkers and bikers respect the vehicle travel lane and are traveling one by one when a vehicle approaches. Issues arise when people speed and when The main focus for not just the Maple Street area should be looking at speed. A LOT of ppl go 50+ mph. It's ridiculous. Between Maple, Guptil, Kneeland Flats and Loomis Hill etc. There needs to be something done. Adding crosswalks & bike Safer way from Waterbury Center to village

The lanes are far too narrow, and it feels very busy when trying to drive through.

It narrows the road - unacceptably unsafe!!!

Please no. This was not an issue before. Leave it the way it was. There is barely enough room for 2 cars. And the stop signs are unnecessary. Those using the road for things other than driving, should yield to vehicles
Put it back to the way it was

The existing setup is horrendous and needs to all go away. Spending the money on a traffic study and installing several speed tables on Maple St would defer the 40-50mph speeds seen daily and make everyone safer. I walk daily and have for many many years and not once have I thought oh I'd be so much safer with crosswalks, extra stop signs, or a so called bike lane, but not having cars go by at 50 mph would make me feel safer.

The intersection of Maple & Guptil with the added stop signs is just stupid. That intersection has NEVER been an issue. The so called bike lane at the intersection of Howard and Maple makes it nearly impossible for a bus, fire truck, truck pulling a trailer, and large vehicle to pull off Howard Ave
Put yield signs on the Guptil/Maple in stead of a stop sign. Put white lines on all of the roads in the center. This has been proven to slow down traffic
It's too congested now. View lines are obscured. Pylons are a distraction and the car lanes are too narrow. Crosswalks are fine, but there just doesn't seem to be enough foot traffic to warrant the rest of it.
over-engineered and trying to solve a problem that did not exist. There's never been a safety issue or accident or injury there that necessitates taxpayer money being used for this. This is such an incredible waste of money. Too many stop signs
Gross misuse of government funds

If you want to install your walking and biking pathways you need more road - narrowing the road is not helpful or safe.

Remove the two new stop signs on guptil. All the new paint is a horrific eye sore. Overall a failure .

Remove the two new stop signs on guptil. All the new paint is a horrific eye sore. Overall a failure .

As someone who regularly bikes and drives through this intersection, I'm not convinced the current demonstration is improving safety. I personally have never felt unsafe here or recall seeing an accident. The new stop signs seem reasonable, but the new pedestrian lane makes the driving lane feel unnecessarily narrow and awkward and potentially creates a new problem that didn't exist before. Since most of the time there aren't pedestrians or cyclist using those lanes, my guess is drivers will end up driving over them. I would rather see clear markings and signage than a lanes that significantly narrow The road is too narrow and traffic poles will present a problem with the snow clearing. Build actual sidewalks in the easements. Put a traffic light at Howard and 100 if you actually want to do something to save lives

What problem are we trying to solve? How does overcrowding this small area with road features improve safety? Have we seen a spike in incidents in this isolated area to make all of these changes? Are we expecting to expand this effort further down the roads? Will we expand the width of the roads? What is the total expected cost including maintenance?

Wider road on Maple St. The traffic constriction is dangerous. I don't see the sense of the three-way stop at the corner of Maple and Guptil Rds. What heavy traffic is there?

No changes to original