STRUCTURAL OPTIONS			
	Recommend Against	***Neutral***	***Recommend In Favor***
Australian Ballot for Town Budget			
Representative Town Meeting			
Two-Part Meeting - Jericho (Binding Floor Meeting followed by Australian Ballot)			
Two-Part Meeting - Duxbury (Informational Meeting followed by Australian Ballot)			
Two-Part Meeting – Waterbury's Current Process (Informational Meeting followed by Floor Meeting)			
Time/Day of Town Meeting			

NON-STRUCTURAL OPTIONS			
	Recommend Against	***Neutral***	***Recommend In Favor***
Improve Town Report			
Technology & Audio 1. Hybrid Zoom Meeting 2. ORCA 2-Way Meeting 3. Clickers 4. Wireless Mics & Speakers 5. Assistive Listening System 6. Live Captioning (CART vs AI) 7. Real Time Translation (AI)			
Special Articles 1. Standard Application 2. Written Policy 3. 501c3 proof 4. Consolidate articles 5. Permanent Review Committee			
Town Meeting Guide			
Town Meeting Checklist			
Town Meeting Facilitators			
Standing Civic Committee			
Welcome to Waterbury - Government Guide & Orientation			

EVALUATION CRITERIA TO CONSIDER

A. Structural Options

1. **Democratic Representation and Public Participation**
    - Does the change increase/decrease quantity of participation in town meeting?
    - Does the change increase/decrease quality of participation in town meeting?
    - Does it preserve transparency, public deliberation, and accountability?
    - Does it provide equitable access for all residents to participate in decision-making?
2. **Operational Effectiveness and Governance**
    - Does the change improve the efficiency, clarity, and predictability of Town Meeting?
    - Does it reduce administrative burden without sacrificing oversight?
    - Are roles, responsibilities, and legal authorities clearly defined?
3. **Long-Term Community Impact and Sustainability**
    - Will the change strengthen governance over the next 10–20 years, not just solve a short-term issue?
    - What are the fiscal, legal, and administrative implications?
    - Can the structure adapt to future growth, changing demographics, or evolving municipal needs?

B. Non-structural options

1. **Democratic Representation and Public Participation**
    - Does the change increase/decrease quantity of participation in town meeting?
    - Does the change increase/decrease quality of participation in town meeting?
2. **Administrative Efficiency**
    - Does the change streamline Town Meeting preparation or administration?
    - Does it reduce unnecessary time, cost, or complexity?
    - Can it be implemented using existing resources and processes?
3. **Communication and Accessibility**
    - Does the change make information easier for residents to find, understand, and use?
    - Does it improve transparency before, during, or after Town Meeting?
    - Does it enhance accessibility without altering voting rights or procedures?
4. **User Experience and Continuous Improvement**
    - Does the change improve the experience for voters, moderators, volunteers, or staff?
    - Is it supported by feedback, best practices, or lessons learned?
    - Can its effectiveness be measured and adjusted over time?